

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/731,946   | 12/09/2003  | Jinquan Dai          | 42P16434            | 6757             |
| 7590 12/13/2007<br>James H. Salter<br>BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|  |             |                      | CHOU, ANDREW Y      |                  |
| Seventh Floor<br>12400 Wilshire  | Boulevard   |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| Los Angeles, CA 90025  |             |                      | 2192                |                  |
|  |             |                      |                     |                  |
|  |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |             |                      | 12/13/2007          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   |  |  | mv          |
|---|--|--|-------------|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |             |
| ·   | 10/731,946   | DAI ET AL.   |             |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |             |
|   | Andrew Y. Chou   | 2192   |             |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply  | n appears on the cover sheet w   | ith the correspondence ac  | Idress      |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS (SR 1.136(a)). In no event, however, may a soon.  period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al | CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this of the company o |             |
| Status  |  |  |             |
| <ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un</li> </ul>  | This action is non-final.<br>Howance except for formal mat   |  | e merits is |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |             |
| 4)  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction is  | thdrawn from consideration.  |  |             |
| Application Papers  |  |  |             |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the specific sheet and the specific shee | accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing  | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>(s) is objected to. See 37 C   |             |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |             |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for   | uments have been received.  Iments have been received in A e priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | Application No  received in this Nationa   | l Stage     |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)  | 48) Paper No   | Summary (PTO-413)<br>s)/Mail Date  |             |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 5)  Notice of 6) Other:  | Informal Patent Application  |             |

10/731,946 Art Unit: 2192

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-26 are pending.

# Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims rejection have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection. See "SEWHA: A program for synthesis of pipelines" (hereinafter Sehwa).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7-8, 15-16, 25, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 7-8, 15-16; 25, and 26 recites the limitation "the delay branch" in line 1 of the claims. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

10/731,946 Art Unit: 2192

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claim 1-26 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by "SEHWA: A Program for Synthesis of Pipeline", Nohbyung Park and Alice Parker, 1986 (hereinafter Sehwa).

## Claims 1, 9, and 17:

As per claims 1, 9, and 17, Sehwa teaches a system (see for example FIG. 2-1, and related text), machine readable medium, and a method version of scheduling a sequence of instructions, comprising:

reading a target program (see for example page 454, "1.1 Pipelining", first paragraph, "In pipelining, each unit computation task is partitioned into a sequence of subtasks..."); identifying a pipeline control hazard in the sequence of instructions (see for example page 454, "1.1 Pipelining", paragraph 2, "...branch delays...resource conflicts also delays execution of the next computation task...");

selecting the sequence of instructions to re-order (see for example pages 456-457, "3. Scheduling Algorithms Used for Synthesis");

re-ordering the sequence of instructions by executing a backward scheduling method (see for example page 457, "3.2 Scheduling priority", second paragraph).

#### Claims 2, 10, and 20:

As per claims 2, 10, and 20, Sehwa teaches the system, machine-readable medium, and method version as recited in claim 1, wherein the pipeline control hazard is a

10/731,946 Art Unit: 2192

branch instruction (see for example page 454, "1.1 Pipelining", paragraph 2, "...branch delays...resource conflicts also delays execution of the next computation task...").

## Claims 3, 9, and 21:

As per claims 3, 9, and 21, Sehwa teaches the system, machine-readable medium, and method version as recited in claim 1, further comprising:

performing the backward scheduling method prior to performing the forward scheduling method (see for example page 457, "3.2 Scheduling priority", second paragraph).

## Claims 4, 10, and 22:

As per claims 4, 10, and 22, Sehwa teaches the system, machine-readable medium, and method version as recited in claim 1 wherein the forward scheduling method reorders at least one instruction within a delay slot (see for example page 457, "3.4 The feasible-scheduling algorithm", second paragraph).

#### Claims 5, 11, and 23:

As per claims 5, 11, and 23, Sehwa teaches the system, machine-readable medium, and method version as recited in claim 1, further comprising:
evaluating the forward scheduling method for a schedule failure (see for example page 457, "3.3 The maximal-scheduling and nonpipeline-scheduling algorithms"); and using the backward scheduling method result when the forward schedule method encounters the schedule failure (see for example page 457, "3.3 The maximal-scheduling and nonpipeline-scheduling algorithms", second paragraph, "If any other schedule results in a more expensive implementation...").

#### Claims 6, 12, and 24:

10/731,946 Art Unit: 2192

As per claims 6, 12, and 24, Sehwa teaches the system, machine-readable medium, and method version as recited in claim 1, further comprising:

packing the delay slot subsequent to executing the forward scheduling method (see for example Figure 2-1, "Overall operation of Sehwa").

## Claims 7, 13, and 25:

As per claims 7, 13, and 25, Sehwa teaches the system, machine-readable medium, and method version as recited in claim 1wherein the delay branch is a fixed length (see for example page 455, "2.2 Synthesis with a cost constraint", lines 1-4).

## Claims 8, 14, and 26:

As per claims 8, 14, and 26, Sehwa teaches the system, machine-readable medium, and method version as recited in claim 1 wherein the delay branch is a variable length (see for example page 454, "1.1 Pipelining", paragraph 2, "...branch delays...resource conflicts also delays execution of the next computation task...").

#### Claims 18:

Sehwa teaches the system as claimed in 17, wherein the system is a computer system (see for example FIG. 2-1, and related text).

## Claims 19:

Sehwa teaches the system as claimed in claim 17 further comprises as display device (see for example page 460, "7. Conclusion", second paragraph).

## Conclusion

10/731.946 Art Unit: 2192

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 6. applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Y. Chou whose telephone number is (571) 272-6829. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 am - 4:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam, can be reached on (571) 272-3695.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273 8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed tot eh TC 2100 Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272 2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

AYC